

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/692,303	10/19/2000	Ann Kerstin B.K. Lindell	AC02736US 5740		
7590 03/24/2006			EXAMINER		
Joan M McGillycuddy			FLETCHER III, WILLIAM P		
Akzo Nobel Inc Intellectual Property Department			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
7 Livingstone Avenue			. 1762		
Dobbs Ferry, 1	NY 10522-3408		DATE MAILED: 03/24/2000	DATE MAILED: 03/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Cm

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/692,303	LINDELL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
William P. Fletcher III	1762	

Advisory Action	09/692,303	LINDELL ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William P. Fletcher III	1762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
 THE REPLY FILED <u>21 February 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months parned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ay reduce any
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>06 January 2006</u>. A br the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl <u>AMENDMENTS</u>. 	or any extension thereof (37 CFR y must be filed within the time peri	41.37(e)), to avoid di od set forth in 37 CFF	smissal of the R 41.37(a).
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co 			because
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w);	·	. 46 :
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		. , ,	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	will not be entered, or b) w	rill be entered and an	explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below or appended.		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 3. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	It before or on the date of filing a N	Jotice of Anneal will r	not be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 			nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper		,
		William Phillip Fleto Patent Examiner, U Group Art Unit 176	cher III ISPTO

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments with respect to In re Bigio are noted, but are not sufficient to overcome the rejections based on Karim. Please note that in that decision, the court cites Liebel-Flarsheim Co. v. Medrad Inc, 62 USPQ2d 1801. Bigio and Flarsheim differ in one key way from the instant application: both cases had explicit support in the specification for the narrower interpretation desired. That is not the case here. It is also noted that both Bigio and Flarsheim affirm the propriety of the Office's giving the broadest reasonable interpretation to a claim term under these circumstances. Consequently, the rejections based on Karim are maintained.

TIMOTHY MEEKS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER